

# THE LANCET

84 Theobald's Road London WC1X 8RR UK

## Active monitoring of adverse drug reactions in children

Francesca Menniti-Ippolito Roberto  
Raschetti Roberto Da Cas Carlo  
Giaquinto Luigi Cantarutti for the  
Italian Paediatric  
Pharmacovigilance Multicenter  
Group

Reprinted from THE LANCET  
Saturday 6 May 2000 Vol. 355  
No. 9215 Pages 1613-1614

## Research letters

### Active monitoring of adverse drug reactions in children

*Francesca Menniti-Ippolito, Roberto Raschetti, Roberto Da Cas, Carlo Giaquinto, Luigi Cantarutti, for the Italian Paediatric Pharmacosurveillance Multicenter Group*

**An active monitoring system of adverse drug reactions (ADR) in children was developed through a network of family paediatricians. The reported incidence of ADRs was 15.1 per 1000 children.**

Few data have been published on adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in children. Although drug metabolism differs in young children and adults, information on efficacy and toxic effects of drugs is rarely available. Children are not generally included in clinical trials and, therefore, drugs are prescribed based on data derived from trials done in adults. As a consequence, many European children admitted to hospital receive drugs that are prescribed unlicensed or off-label.<sup>1-3</sup> In Italy, spontaneous reporting of ADRs is mandatory. There is, however, a high risk of underestimating the real incidence of ADRs because of the lack of data on the number of drug prescriptions in the population. To study how an active monitoring system of ADRs in the paediatric setting could help in the collection of information on drug and vaccine safety in children, we developed a network of family paediatricians in northeast Italy.

In March, 1996, the project was presented to 32 family paediatricians practising in the Veneto Region, who volunteered to participate. They represented 8% of all family paediatricians in the region's health service. 29 agreed to participate in the study and were trained accordingly.

Each week (from April, 1996 to March, 1997) the participating paediatricians sent, by modem, a detailed electronic report of each observed ADR to the Mattonai Institute of Public Health (Istituto Superiore di Sanità) in Rome, where data were analysed and reported back to the doctors. To calculate the incidence of ADRs by prescription, for nine of the paediatricians in the Padova province of Veneto, we retrieved from their databases all prescriptions reimbursable by the health service issued during the study period. We calculated incidence per 1000 defined daily doses of drugs causing ADRs. These doctors observed more than 50% of the ADRs reported for the reimbursable prescriptions. Each ADR was assessed according to available information about known drug side-effects—technical reports from pharmaceutical companies and commercial databases (Micromedex).

About 24 000 children were enrolled on the lists of the 29 paediatricians. We analysed 244 reports that included 388 events, with a suspected correlation with 266 prescriptions of 73 different substances. 27 doctors

Age-group (years)	Number of children	Number of ADRs	Incidence per 1000 children	Number of ADRs from vaccines	Incidence per 1000 children
0-1	469	16	34.1	5	10.7
>1-4	1825	48	26.3	6	3.3
>4-7	2086	29	13.9	2	0.9

>7-14	3510	26	7-4	1	0-3
-------	------	----	-----	---	-----

Total **7890** **15-1** **119** **1-8** **14**

Table 1: Distribution and incidence of ADRs by age-group

reported at least one adverse event (median 6 [range 1-39]). The time distribution of ADRs was quite Constant during the study, but we saw a slight increase at the beginning and a decrease at the end. The incidence of ADRs was 15-1 per 1000 children. Incidences by age-group are shown in table 1. The drugs most frequently associated with an ADR were cephalosporins and macrolides, whereas penicillins, even with a high number of prescriptions had low incidences (table 2). Two new drugs, licensed in Italy before the study (nedocromil and cefpodoxime proxetil), led to glossitis, and urticaria and pruritus, respectively. The most frequent ADRs were: gastrointestinal (39%), cutaneous (36%), neurological and muscular (4-5%), and haematological (3%) reactions. All ADRs were mild and none required hospital admission, although the study did not have sufficient power to identify rare or serious ADRs.

In Italy, reporting of any ADR to the Ministry of Health is mandatory for physicians, pharmaceutical companies, pharmacists, and patients. Under-reporting is, however, particularly high compared with other countries. Only four ADRs per 100000 children were reported in 1994 and 1995, whereas we calculated an incidence of 15 per 1000 children. An effective active ADR monitoring system is feasible in children. The interest shown by the paediatricians was high and many other doctors asked to join the group to continue the project. Proper presentation of the project and training were crucial elements in the involvement of the

ADR	DDD	Incidence per 1000 DDD	Substances	Type of ADR
2	28	71-43	Cefpodoxime	Cutaneous
1	15	66-67	Calcipotriol	Cutaneous
1	77	12-99	Josamycin	Gastrointestinal
1	193	5-18	Cefatrizine	Gastrointestinal
12	4373	2-74	Clarithromycin	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, eosinophilia
2	798	2-51	Ceftibuten	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal
4	1969	2-36	Cefuroxime Axetil	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal
4	2210	1-81	Azithromycin	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, neurological
5	3540	1-41	Co-trimoxazole	Cutaneous, neurological
1	711	1-41	Ferrous gluconate	Gastrointestinal
5	4102	1-22	Erythromycin	Gastrointestinal
21	18314	1-15	Amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal
1	915	1-09	Nimesulide	Cutaneous
2	1836	1-09	Ketoprofen	Hematuria, hypertranspiration
7	6710	1-04	Cefaclor	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, neurological
1	1165	0.86	Cyproheptadine	Cutaneous
1	1292	0-77	Domperidone	Cutaneous
1	1380	0-72	Loratadine	Neurological
15	27813	0-54	Amoxicillin	Cutaneous, gastrointestinal, angioedema fever
4	15513	0-26	Salbutamol	Cutaneous, neurological, cough
1	7369	0-14	Nedocromil	Angioedema

1	33114	0-03	Beclometasone	Cough
---	-------	------	---------------	-------

**DDD=defined daily doses. Table 2: ADR incidence by substance**

paediatricians. When we compared the computerised notes with the cases notified to the coordinating centre, the reporting was complete. We could not assess, however, how many ADRs were not reported. This system, therefore, lacks specificity and reported ADRs should be checked to confirm the relation with drug administration. In paediatric wards, most drugs are prescribed off-label,<sup>4</sup> but there are no data on whether this approach is common to primary care. Although in our study no drug was unlicensed or prescribed off-label, our system could address this issue. Concerns have been raised that with our reporting system many trivial ADRs may be reported, which might make detection of important reactions difficult. Continuation of the study will give us information to reassess the reporting criteria for all reactions and drugs.

1 Boriati **M**, Choonara I, Hoppu K, Pons **G**, Seyberth H. Closing the gap in drug therapy. *Lancet* 1999; **353**: 1625.

2 Impicciatore P, Pandolfini C, Bosetti C, Bonari M. Reazioni avverse da farmaci in età pediatrica, *hai Jdin Phamiacol* 1998; **12**: 29-35.

3 Sutcliffe AG. Prescribing medicines for children. *BMJ* 1999; **319**: 70-77.

4 Conroy S, Choonara I, Impicciatore P, -et al. Survey of unlicensed and off label drug use in paediatric wards in European countries. *BMJ* 2000;320: 79-82.

**Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics. Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy**

(F Menniti-Ippolito MSc, R Raschetti MSc, R Da Cas MSc);

**Department of Paediatrics, University of Padova, Padova, Italy** (C Giaquinto MD);

**and Family Paediatrician, "Pedianet project", Padova, Italy** (L Cantarutti MD)

**Correspondence to:** Ms Francesca Menniti-Ippolito (e-mail: [fmenniti@iss.it](mailto:fmenniti@iss.it))